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Motivations of HADES

• The main goal of HADES experiments is to explore strongly interacting matter in heavy-ion collisions in A+A at 1-3 Gev/Nucleon.

• Although quarks and gluons remain confined inside the nucleons, modifications of properties of hadrons are predicted.

• The best probe for such studies is the positron-electron pair because they don’t make strong interactions with the surrounding hadrons.

• p+p and d+p reactions are also measured
  • Reference for medium effects.
  • Study of the emission of e⁺ e⁻ pair by baryonic resonances. (R=Δ(1232),N(1520) ..) R→N e⁺ e⁻
HADES (High Acceptance Di-Electron Spectrometer) is a detector that covers the whole azimuthal angle and covers polar angles from 16° to 88° with respect to the beam direction.

It is situated at GSI in Germany.

It is very well suited in:

• Measuring Di-Electron production.

• Detecting charged hadrons.
Pion beam experiment with HADES

- **New experiment** in preparation (summer 2014): $\pi^+p$ and $\pi^-A$ reactions
  - Pions are of great interest due to the better knowledge of pion-nucleon interactions than nucleon-nucleon reactions.
  - Direct production of baryonic resonances ($\pi^+p \rightarrow R$ instead of $pp/pn \rightarrow RN$).

Challenges:
- Pion beam is a **secondary beam**. It is produced by the interaction of intense ion beam (proton for example) on a thick target.
- Pions have **broad spatial and momentum distributions**.
- **Pion momentum** reconstruction is needed to Calculate the missing mass of undetected particles in exclusive channels ($\pi^+p \rightarrow ne+e^-$).
- **Position reconstruction** at the HADES target is needed to reject the background coming from events produced with material surrounding the target.
It is 33 m long.
- Contains 9 quadrupoles (q), 2 dipoles (d) and 2 silicon detectors (10cm x 10cm with 128 channels of 300µ thickness in X and Y).
Pion reconstruction

Transport of particles in magnetic line:
• each charged particle is represented by vector \( X = (x, \theta, y, \phi, \ell, \delta = \Delta p/p) \).
• at first order, each magnetic element is represented by 6x6 matrix \( R = \begin{bmatrix}
T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{16} \\
T_{21} & \cdots & \cdots & T_{26} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
T_{61} & \cdots & \cdots & T_{66}
\end{bmatrix} \).

• the passage of each particle by magnetic element is represented by the equation: \( X(1) = R \times X(0) \) and passing through many magnetic elements, the formalism can be extended with second order terms (for more precision) and the equation will be presented by: \( X_i(1) = \Sigma R_{ij} \times X_j(0) + \Sigma T_{ijk} X_j(0) X_k(0) \).
An example of the matrix $R$ for dipoles with vertical magnetic fields:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
T_{11} & T_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{16} \\
T_{21} & T_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 & T_{26} \\
0 & 0 & T_{33} & T_{34} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & T_{43} & T_{44} & 0 & 0 \\
- & - & 0 & 0 & 1 & - \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Dispersion terms (as $T_{16}$)

Where $T_{ij} = f(R, \alpha)$

An example of the matrix $R$ for the drift space (a free-field region):

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & L & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$x = x_0 + L \cdot \theta_0$
Many transport coefficients have been neglected because their contributions to the positions are much lower than half resolution of the silicon detector, leading to the following simplified equations:

\[
X^{\text{det}1} = T_{11}^{\text{det}1} x_0 + T_{12}^{\text{det}1} \theta_0 + T_{14}^{\text{det}1} \phi_0 + T_{16}^{\text{det}1} \delta + T_{116}^{\text{det}1} x_0 \delta + T_{126}^{\text{det}1} \theta_0 \delta + T_{146}^{\text{det}1} \phi_0 \delta + T_{166}^{\text{det}1} \delta^2
\]

\[
X^{\text{det}2} = T_{11}^{\text{det}2} x_0 + T_{12}^{\text{det}2} \theta_0 + T_{14}^{\text{det}2} \phi_0 + T_{16}^{\text{det}2} \delta + T_{116}^{\text{det}2} x_0 \delta + T_{126}^{\text{det}2} \theta_0 \delta + T_{146}^{\text{det}2} \phi_0 \delta + T_{166}^{\text{det}2} \delta^2
\]

\[
Y^{\text{det}1} = T_{31}^{\text{det}1} x_0 + T_{32}^{\text{det}1} \theta_0 + T_{33}^{\text{det}1} y_0 + T_{34}^{\text{det}1} \phi_0 + T_{36}^{\text{det}1} \delta + T_{336}^{\text{det}1} y_0 \delta + T_{346}^{\text{det}1} \phi_0 \delta + T_{366}^{\text{det}1} \delta^2
\]

\[
Y^{\text{det}2} = T_{31}^{\text{det}2} x_0 + T_{32}^{\text{det}2} \theta_0 + T_{33}^{\text{det}2} y_0 + T_{34}^{\text{det}2} \phi_0 + T_{36}^{\text{det}2} \delta + T_{336}^{\text{det}2} y_0 \delta + T_{346}^{\text{det}2} \phi_0 \delta + T_{366}^{\text{det}2} \delta^2
\]

These coefficients have been calculated using the TRANSPORT code. By solving a system which is made from the above four equations, one gets the values of \(x_0, y_0, \theta_0, \phi_0\) and \(\delta\). But any error on the vertical and horizontal positions translates into errors on \(x_0, y_0, \theta_0, \phi_0\) and \(\delta\); so we have to check these errors experimentally.

The resolution on \(\delta\) is less than 0.3\%, on \(\Delta x\) is less than 2mm and on \(\Delta y\) is at the level of few hundred microns.
Motivations of the test beam

A test beam was made at the end of April at HADES where it lasts a week with a proton beam of energy of 1.9 GeV.
The goal of this test beam:
• Test the newly built silicon detectors.
• check the transport coefficients.
Configurations

- Different configurations were used (different values of $x_0, y_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0$ and $\delta$).
- $\delta$ was changed by changing currents in the spectrometric line which means changing the momentum of the reference trajectory and not that of the proton beam.
- $x_0, y_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0$ were changed by adjusting the magnetic field in the two dipoles located before the production target.
- Horizontal and vertical positions on both detectors were measured for each configuration.

The first result of the test beam:
The silicon detectors are efficient and they are working well.
Extraction of positions on both detectors

A gaussian fit of the hit channel distributions for detector 1 in the horizontal plane.
Same procedure for detector 2.

Dead channels in the Silicon detector.

- $\delta = -4.3\%$
- $\delta = -2.9\%$
- $\delta = -1.47\%$
- $\delta = 0.0\%$
- $\delta = 1.5\%$
- $\delta = 3.09\%$
- $\delta = 4.7\%$
Extraction of transport coefficients: $T_{16}$ & $T_{166}$

Fitting equation $X = Cte + T_{16} \delta + T_{166} \delta^2$ for both detectors in horizontal plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>detector1</th>
<th>$T_{16}$ (cm/%)</th>
<th>$T_{166}$ (cm/%/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>theoretical</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>-0.78±0.01</td>
<td>0.004±0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>detector2</th>
<th>$T_{16}$ (cm/%)</th>
<th>$T_{166}$ (cm/%/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>theoretical</td>
<td>-0.034</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>-0.081±0.01</td>
<td>-0.031±0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A gauss fit of the hit channel distributions for detector 1 in vertical plane.
Same procedure for detector 2.

δ = -4.3%, 

δ = -2.9%, 

δ = -1.47%, 

δ = 0.0%, 

δ = 1.5%, 

δ = 3.09%, 

δ = 4.7%.
**Extraction of transport coefficients: $T_{36}$ & $T_{366}$**

Fitting equation $Y = Cte + T_{36} \delta + T_{366} \delta^2$ for both detectors in vertical plane.

### Detector 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_{36}$ (cm/%)</th>
<th>$T_{366}$ (cm/%/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>theoretical</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>-0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>0.301±0.02</td>
<td>-0.0431±0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transport values**

$\Delta y_1 = \pm 0.03 \text{ cm}$

### Detector 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_{36}$ (cm/%)</th>
<th>$T_{366}$ (cm/%/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>theoretical</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measured</td>
<td>1.12±0.04</td>
<td>-0.045±0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transport values**

$\Delta y_2 = \pm 0.05 \text{ cm}$
• During the first week of the internship, I stayed at GSI and I participated in the test beam; the other weeks I was working at IPNO.

• My work consisted in data analysis.

• The results presented for dispersion matrix elements will be used in pion reconstruction.

Other transport coefficients are also fitted but need further investigation.
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